Introduction

For numerous patients suffering from pathologies that irreversibly damage organs and tissues, transplantation is the best therapeutic solution, and in several cases the only possible one. In this context the present study has set the objective to analyze the attitude of the respondents towards donation in relation to their education levels. A questionnaire was elaborated and administered. A range Linkert-score was associated with each question indicating as 0 the minimum preference and 5 the maximum preference. For each question participants had to indicate a single answer among proposals. X² test among answers collected was assessed in order to statistically verify the significance between answers given by the two groups as regards organs donation. The recruited group was made up of 203 students of which 129 were girls (64%) and 74 were boys (36%). The youngest student was aged 17 and the oldest 21, mean age was 18.58±1.89 years. The population group considered in this study consisted of 203 subjects, of which 117 (58%) females and 86 (42%) males. The youngest person interviewed belonging to population group was aged 22 and the oldest was 87 years old, the mean age was 51.03±14.02 years. Our study revealed the attitude towards donation with a greater degree of consensus that has been demonstrated within the population rather than within students.
respondents declared themselves for organ and tissue donation (86.9%), 11 against, 35 indifferent and 3 did not respond. Between women and men, as well as in the age groups, there were no differences, instead according to the different levels of education of the interviewees we noted that among graduates 97%, declared themselves for donation and only 2.1% was indifferent. The percentage decreased if we specifically asked for the donation of their own organs: the availability dropped from 86.4% to 74.0%, without obvious differences in age groups, schooling or gender of the interviewees. As for the reasons that justify the favorable attitude towards organ donation: 40.10% believe that organ donation is a way to avoid wasting opportunities for others; 40.40% consider the donation an act of solidarity; 8.80% consider it a civil duty; 63.60% answered that the threshold that separates being alive from being dead is not sure; 18.20% answered that the donation is difficult to control and can be lent to speculation; 4.70% said that the donation is contrary to their religious belief. Concerning the possibility of opposing a family member’s donation of organs, despite his/her consent to the donation, only 8% of the respondents agreed to the possibility of opposing, while 85.5% was against, 3.8% were hesitant and 2.7% did not respond. The last question of the survey asked about the actions to be taken to encourage greater organ donations for therapeutic transplantation: 33.1% asked to provide more information about it, 19.2% to adopt the legislation, 17% to increase dedicated staff for withdrawals, transplants and equipment, 17.7% did not respond and the remainder was directed towards other reasons.

From data collected by AIDO it is clear that there is an initial positive emotional predisposition to organ donation and a reduced opposition rate that fades when the interviewee is confronted with a choice of personal donation. The answers that denote an indifferent attitude towards the topic of organ donation lead to consider the importance and indispensability of a continuous work aimed at raising citizens awareness through information and education, since they shall always be considered as the main protagonists in the donation process and organ transplantation. It is necessary to speak, inform, and educate on donation in order to grow the culture of gift, as well as to work more on the indifferent person than on the contrary one.

The low donation rate in Puglia, as shown by data provided by the Regional Transplant Center of the Policlinic in Bari, represented an excellent starting point to verify the level of knowledge and information about the topic and to offer an initial point of awareness on the culture of ‘gift’. The low number of donations is in fact due, rather than to a state of ignorance, to a disinformation on all the multiple levels that form the great pyramid of transplants.

In this context the present study has set the objective to analyze the attitude of the respondents towards donation in relation to their education levels.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out between April and September 2019. After a careful analysis of the literature, two population groups were taken into consideration: students and adults, in order to test their organ donation knowledge. All participants involved in this study lived or attended their studies in the Acquaviva delle Fonti, Bari, in Southern Italy.

The student group included young people aged between 17 and 21 who attended different higher education institutions, as: high school, technical school and professional school. On the other hand, the adult group included people aged from 21 to 87.

Students were interviewed in April 2018 during lessons. Firstly, students’ consents to participate in this survey were collected. Then, all students who agreed to participate in this study were invited to answer to the questionnaire.

General population was met in pharmacies and surgeries present in Acquaviva delle Fonti, Bari, in order to facilitate people recruitment for the study. All general population voluntarily agreed to participate and answer the same questionnaire.

The questionnaire was elaborated ad hoc and included 15 multiple choice questions, which assessed the donator culture as regards organs donation. For questions from number 1 to 12 and number 15 a range Linkert-score as preference grading from 0 to 5 was assessed, indicating as 0 the minimum preference and 5 the maximum preference among the answers given. Furthermore, question number 13 and 14 indicated specifically where interviewers acquired information on the donation topic and what specific type of information they would have wanted to receive, respectively. For each question participants had to indicate a single answer between proposals. The questionnaire administered was elaborated into 6 sections. Specifically, the first and the second part explored personal and employment data, respectively, including 5 items, which collected general information on the interviewers, such as: age, sex, educational level as 5th grade, middle school, high school diploma, degree. Moreover, professional data were divided for the student group according to their educational track, while and for the general population according to the type of job.
employee, freelancer, manager and health worker. Finally, the demographic section explored also the religious faith, simply indicating if Catholic or other. The third section of the questionnaire explored the attitude towards donation, particularly: the degree of consent to organ, tissue and cell transplantation as a donor, the degree of consent to any family organ donation and the degree of importance on family discussions on consent to donation. The fourth part of the questionnaire illustrated knowledge about the subject. This part was found to be the most substantial in the questionnaire, in fact it was made up of 8 questions that have made it possible to obtain information about the wealth of knowledge regarding organ, cell and tissue donation. The extent of the candidate awareness was analyzed by covering the following topics: i) when does organ donation take place?; ii) the possibility of deciding in life to whom your organs will be donated; iii) the possibility for donor’s family members to know the identity of the recipient, iv) the position of the Catholic Church regarding organ donation; v) the possibility of living donation; vi) the modalities of expression of the will in life for the donation. In this section answers did not appear as preference grading from 0 to 5, as they were collected in such a way to illustrate the interviewers belief in the organ donation information. The fifth part of the questionnaire included the degree of information on donation, such as to what extent the candidate believes he/she is informed about the donation of organs, tissues and cells; expectations regarding the information on donation, such as: where or by whom information about the donation can be found, the type of information about it. Finally, the sixth section of the questionnaire included the level of awareness, as the candidate was placed in the condition of needing an organ more than he/she had to donate it, and the position was analyzed.

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 20 software. Population characteristics were assessed by the percentage distribution of qualitative variable prevalence.

All the answers received were assessed as distribution curves performing the Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. As the distributions of the variables analyzed did not conform to Gaussian distribution, intra-group comparisons were assessed using the $X^2$ test. The threshold for probability of erroneous rejection of the null hypothesis $H_0$ which is in fact not equal was assessed at 0.05.

Results

Student sample included 203 students aged between 17 and 21, belonging to the following schools: Don Milani High School (No=98), C. Colamonico Technical Institute (No=61) and N. Chiarulli Professional Institute (No=50), located in Acquaviva delle Fonti (Ba). 129 students were girls (64%) and 74 were boys (36%). The youngest student was aged 17 and the oldest 21, mean age was 18.58±1.89 years.

45% of students attended high school, 30% attended technical courses and 25% professional tracks. 22% of total students had a Catholic belief. The remaining 78% had a different creed than the latter.

General population included 203 persons randomly collected, in Acquaviva delle Fonti (BA), specifically at pharmacies and surgeries, respectively. These places were chosen in order to facilitate general population recruitment.

The population group considered in this study consisted of 203 subjects, of which 117 (58%) female and 86 (42%) male. The youngest person interviewed belonging to population group was aged 22 and the oldest was aged 87, mean age was 51.03±14.02 years. 96% of total population had a Catholic belief. The remaining 4% had a different creed. 28% of the population group worked as employee, 25% as freelancer, 1% as manager, 21% as health worker, and 10% was retired.

To verify the hypothesis that organ donation knowledge differs based on socio condition as student or not, the $X^2$ test among answers collected was assessed. The results of comparison between students and general population are shown in Table 1.

Analyses showed a statistically significant difference $(P<0.05)$ in the all answers given, except for questions number 1 and number 10. In the first question participants were asked if they were in favor or against cell and organ donation. Students answered that they were more in favor than the general population. However, this difference was not statistically significant. The other question in which difference was not statistically significant was number 10, where participants were asked if they knew the possibility of donation from living donors. Most of them, both belonging to student or general population group did not know about this possibility.

As regards question number 13, it was asked where interviewers acquired information on the donation topic. 29% of students answered that they liked to receive more information on the donation topic from training events, 8% of them from advertising, 11% of them from daily articles and magazines, 13% from television, and 39% from the Internet. While the general population group answered that they preferred to receive more information on the donation topic from training events (36%), 11% from advertising, 6% from daily articles and magazines, 21% from television and finally, 26% from the Internet.

Concerning question number 14, participants were asked what specific information they would have liked to receive from the resources cited in answer number 13. 13% of students answered that they
**Table 1. Comparison of organ donation knowledge between students and general population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer 1 Very</th>
<th>Answer 2 Quite</th>
<th>Answer 3 Undecided</th>
<th>Answer 4 Little</th>
<th>Answer 5 In no way</th>
<th>Χ² test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Based on your knowledge: are you in favor of organ, cell and tissue transplantation as a donor?</td>
<td>Students (No=3) 1.5% Population (No=3) 1.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=5) 2.5% Population (No=6) 3%</td>
<td>Students (No=16) 7.9% Population (No=25) 12.3%</td>
<td>Students (No=92) 43.5% Population (No=66) 32.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=103) 50.7% Population (No=87) 42.9%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Would you be in favor of any family organ donation?</td>
<td>Students (No=2) 1% Population (No=5) 2.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=5) 2.5% Population (No=15) 7.4%</td>
<td>Students (No=40) 19.7% Population (No=40) 19.7%</td>
<td>Students (No=86) 42.4% Population (No=54) 26.6%</td>
<td>Students (No=70) 34.5% Population (No=89) 43.8%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Do you know how organ donation occurs precisely?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=67) 33% Population (No=24) 11.8%</td>
<td>Students (No=74) 36.5% Population (No=71) 35%</td>
<td>Students (No=54) 26.6% Population (No=93) 45.8%</td>
<td>Students (No=3) 1.5% Population (No=15) 7.4%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How much do you know about brain death?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=10) 4.9% Population (No=32) 15.8%</td>
<td>Students (No=9) 4.4% Population (No=1) 0.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=19) 9.4% Population (No=12) 5.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=165) 81.3% Population (No=158) 77.8%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. How far can you distinguish the concept of brain death from that of coma?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=17) 8.4% Population (No=29) 14.3%</td>
<td>Students (No=2) 1% Population (No=2) 1%</td>
<td>Students (No=178) 87.7% Population (No=156) 76.8%</td>
<td>Students (No=6) 3% Population (No=16) 7.9%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. How important do you think it is to discuss about the consent to organ donation after death in the family?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=3) 1.5% Population (No=8) 3.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=9) 4.4% Population (No=8) 3.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=35) 17.2% Population (No=12) 5.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=156) 76.8% Population (No=175) 86.2%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. How much do you know about the possibility of deciding in life to whom your organs will be donated?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=44) 21.7% Population (No=20) 9.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=34) 16.7% Population (No=14) 6.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=16) 7.9% Population (No=17) 8.4%</td>
<td>Students (No=109) 53.7% Population (No=152) 74.9%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How much do you know about the donor’s family members ability to know the recipient’s identity?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=38) 18.7% Population (No=21) 10.3%</td>
<td>Students (No=60) 29.6% Population (No=39) 19.2%</td>
<td>Students (No=55) 27.1% Population (No=37) 18.2%</td>
<td>Students (No=50) 24.6% Population (No=106) 52.2%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Do you think the Catholic Church is in favor of organ donation?</td>
<td>Students (No=2) 1% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=99) 48.8% Population (No=81) 39.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=31) 15.3% Population (No=16) 7.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=60) 29.6% Population (No=14) 6.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=11) 5.4% Population (No=92) 45.3%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. How much do you know about the possibility of donation from living donors?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=33) 16.3% Population (No=29) 14.3%</td>
<td>Students (No=1) 0.5% Population (No=6) 3%</td>
<td>Students (No=20) 9.9% Population (No=10) 4.9%</td>
<td>Students (No=149) 73.4% Population (No=158) 77.8%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. How much are you aware of the mode of expression of will in life for the donation of your organs and tissues?</td>
<td>Students (No=0) 0% Population (No=0) 0%</td>
<td>Students (No=70) 34.5% Population (No=62) 30.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=8) 3.9% Population (No=3) 1.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=114) 56.2% Population (No=113) 55.7%</td>
<td>Students (No=4) 2% Population (No=25) 12.3%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Do you think you are informed about organ, tissue and cell donation?</td>
<td>Students (No=3) 1.5% Population (No=7) 3.4%</td>
<td>Students (No=11) 5.4% Population (No=11) 5.4%</td>
<td>Students (No=154) 75.9% Population (No=106) 52.2%</td>
<td>Students (No=114) 56.2% Population (No=113) 55.7%</td>
<td>Students (No=4) 2% Population (No=19) 9.4%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Each of us has been found to be much more likely to need an organ than to donate an organ. Based on this statement, how do you deal with the donation?</td>
<td>Students (No=5) 2.5% Population (No=2) 1%</td>
<td>Students (No=7) 3.4% Population (No=1) 0.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=89) 43.8% Population (No=68) 33.5%</td>
<td>Students (No=63) 31% Population (No=65) 32%</td>
<td>Students (No=39) 19.2% Population (No=67) 33%</td>
<td>Χ²= 0.003*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value <0.05 statistically significant.
liked to receive more information on death ascertainment, 19% preferred to receive more information on physical and age limits for donation; 7% agreed to receive information on how to return the body; 16% liked to receive more information on how organs were assigned; finally 45% of students agreed to receive more information on donation process. On the other hand, the general population group answered as follows: 16% of persons answered that they liked to receive more information on death ascertainment, 14% preferred to receive more information on physical and age limits for donation; 17% agreed to receive information on how to return the body; 13% liked to receive more information on how organs were assigned; finally 40% of general population agreed to receive more information on donation process.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

The study that inspired us to collect information on the field of transplant knowledge was the one carried out by the Regional Transplant Center in collaboration with the Association of Donors of Organs of Friuli Venezia Giulia (FVG ADO) which structured a questionnaire aimed at testing the knowledge among the population (adults and students) on organ donation.6 A total of 1,200 questionnaires were administered, of which 59% were completed by adult subjects and 41% were completed by students (of whom 30% went to middle schools, 57.2% went to high school and the remaining 12.8% attended the University). Seven questions were asked, as: i) when organ donation occurs; ii) what is brain death; iii) what is the difference between brain death and coma; iv) the importance of discussing the topic of organ donation in the family; v) if they could decide: to whom they would like their organs to be donated in life; vi) how the main religions dealt with the issue of organ donation; and vii) if it is possible for the family members of the donor to know the identity of the person who received the organs.

Our questionnaire included the same type of questions, highlighting any significant differences between the group of students and that of the general population. It was interesting to note that, for many questions, the two groups claimed that they did not have much knowledge of. In fact, from question 3 to question 8 no participant, belonging to one of the two groups, claimed to have complete knowledge of the requested topic. These data showed that the two groups considered, regardless of whether they were students or not, possessed a level of knowledge of organ donation that varied from moderate to insufficient. This level of knowledge tested was in agreement with the study mentioned above.

Concerning question number 9, asking if the Catholic Church was in favor or against organ donation, most students (48.8%) and general population (39.9%) answered ’quite’. In particular, most of students answered ’quite’, while the majority of general population answered ’quite’ and ’in no way’. Data were in agreement with the religious creed declared, since 96% of the general population was catholic and also 22% of students. These data were in agreement with the Sung et al. study7 in which the effects of religious characteristics and belief levels on the relationship between religion and organ donation were analyzed. Results showed that Christianity, such as Catholicism and Protestantism, positively affects the willingness to donate organs as compared with Buddhism. Religiosity level also exerted an interaction effect that strengthened the relationship between Christianity and willingness to donate organs.

Additionally, we tried to focus the attention on a theme that, in contemporary bioethical reflection, is hardly considered. As a result of this, the need to give continuity to the training events emerges. Such events that are carried out primarily in schools, where major gaps were noted, shall also be made available for all citizens, in order to facilitate the dissemination of information. Dissemination may take place through the most modern means of communication (for example the Internet and social media) that are easily accessible to young people, or through television, that represents a medium commonly used by older age groups. Information and training events would surely produce positive results, as they would be useful in bringing out doubts, or in encouraging a constructive debate based on the comparison between users and experienced professionals on these issues. In-depth tools could be provided, such as dedicated websites and ad-hoc information material. Before developing all this, it would be necessary at least to inform and train health personnel in general.

In fact, the continuous and rapid changes affecting the healthcare world require trainers to direct professionals towards a type of learning that could allow them to cope with such changes and to favor the development of an advanced health service even outside the hospital environment. The achievement of these objectives is closely related to the quality of health education and training; which is a collective responsibility. First of all, it must involve the academic community, since planning and delivering training require primarily an active participation of medical schools, programs and regulation of professional profiles (enrollment, certifications, licenses).7-10 The issues to be addressed are essentially two: the basic training of health professionals and the constant maintenance of up-to-date training with respect to knowledge that is constantly changing. Only in this way,
starting from the fundamentals, it is possible to provide first the information needed and then to carry out the training within the community so as to promote the culture of donation and then give the best of us.11

Our study revealed the attitude towards donation, a greater degree of consensus has been demonstrated within the population rather than among students.12,13 In this case, it can be emphasized how crucial is the role of culture within the entire donation process, understood as open-mindedness.14,15

According to data collected, we can easily outline an overview of the general situation which shows a low level of information at all levels, especially among students, who declare to be poorly informed about the topic. The level of disinfection on donations remains high without considering the education level. The methods that both students and population samples deemed to be the most appropriate to carry out a culture of donations are information events, followed by the Internet and television.16,17

Certainly, the low number of the two samples will not make our study enough representative, but in any case, it aimed to photograph the knowledge level possessed by the general population and by the students. In reality, a future development of this study could involve the inclusion of more participants, perhaps from different areas, with a multicenter character, so as to observe the level of knowledge of the issue of organ donation in different parts of Italy. In this study, instead, the town of Acquaviva delle Fonti, in the province of Bari, was considered only for the sake of the experimenter’s convenience in collecting data.

In literature many works deals mainly with the level of knowledge that healthcare professionals, medical students or nurses have about the donation of organs. However, only few studies deal with the issue of organ donation aimed at the general population, whether they are students or not.18,19

In any case, our study highlighted a statistically significant difference between the responses received from the two groups, both in terms of the basic knowledge possessed and the beliefs about the topics covered.
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