

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The influence of physical activity performed at 20-40 years of age on cardiovascular outcomes in medical patients aged 65-75

L'influenza sugli eventi cardiovascolari dell'attività fisica svolta fra i 20 e i 40 anni di età, in pazienti di 65-75 anni

Francesco Cipollini^a, Gualberto Gussoni^{b,*}, Roberta Pacifici^c, Silvia Rossi^c, Erminio Bonizzoni^d, Antonella Valerio^b, Adolfo Iacopino^e, Audenzio D'Angelo^f, Domenico Panuccio^g, Ido Iori^{b,h}, Antonino Mazzoneⁱ, Piergiorgio Zuccaro^c, on behalf of the FADOI-ISS Study Group⁽⁾

^a Internal Medicine, Hospital of Amandola

- ^b FADOI Foundation Research Department, Milano
- ^c Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma
- ^d Institute of Medical Statistics and Biometry, University of Milano
- ^e Internal Medicine, "Carmona" Hospital, Messina
- ^f Internal Medicine, Fatebenefratelli Hospital, Palermo
- ^gInternal Medicine B, "Maggiore" Hospital, Bologna
- ^h Internal Medicine I, "Arcispedale S. Maria Nuova", Reggio Emilia
- ⁱInternal Medicine, "Civile" Hospital, Legnano; all in Italy

Received 30 September 2010; accepted 21 February 2011 available online 3 April 2011

KEYWORDS Cardiovascular events; Diabetes; Exercise.

Summary

Introduction: Several studies show that physical activity can reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease, but the vast majority of these focus on the short- to intermediate-term benefits or refer to very specific populations. This observational study was conducted to determine whether physical activity performed during the third or fourth decade of life influences the occurrence of cardiovascular events in patients aged 65-75 years.

Materials and methods: We studied a cohort of 2191 unselected patients admitted to Internal Medicine Departments. Data were collected on the patients' medical history and their physical

* Corresponding author. Fondazione FADOI Centro Studi, via G.B. Bazzoni 8 - Milan, Italy. *E-mail:* gualberto_gussoni@yahoo.it (G. Gussoni).

1877-9344/\$ — see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.itjm.2011.02.002

 $^{^{\}diamond}$ Members of the FADOI-ISS Study Group are listed in the Appendix A.

activity level when they were 20 to 40 years old. For the latter purpose, we used a specific questionnaire to assess the levels of physical activity related to the patients' job, daily life, leisure time, and sports.

Results: Almost half (44.2%) of the patients we evaluated reported moderate-intense physical activity when they were 20-40 years old. Around one third (35.8%) of the patients had experienced at least one major cardiovascular event, and there was a slight trend towards fewer cardiovascular events in patients with histories of physical activity (mean risk reduction: 4%, multivariate analysis). More evident benefits were observed in the subgroup of patients with diabetes, where cardiovascular outcomes were much better in patients who had been physically active than in those with sedentary life-styles (mean risk reduction: 24%).

Conclusions: Given its design, our study may have underestimated the cardiovascular benefits of physical activity. Nonetheless, our results suggest that moderate-intense exercise during young adulthood may have limited beneficial effects on cardiovascular disease in old age, except in specific high-risk populations (diabetic patients). More evident benefits are probably associated with regular physical activity throughout life.

© 2011 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In the last few decades, several studies [1-9] have demonstrated that physical activity, as with other modifiable lifestyle factors, can reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. Compared to the large number of reports documenting benefits in the short-term (1-5 years), there are few studies evaluating the long-term effects of physical activities, and the majority of these refer to selected populations performing specific types of exercise. A favorable long-term outcome of physical activity was first reported by Morgan [10] in a group of oarsmen, who demonstrated a mean life-expectancy two years longer than the general population. Paffenbarger et al. [6] documented a lower rate of death from all causes and from coronary heart disease in Harvard College alumni who had performed physical activity, and a reduced risk for coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes was reported by Kujala et al. [11] in male Finnish athletes participating in international endurance competitions. More recently, running in middle and old age appeared to be associated with reduced disability in later life and improved survival in a 21-year longitudinal study [12].

The aim of our study was to evaluate whether physical activity performed in the third or fourth decade of life as part of work, daily life, leisure time and sports, is associated with favorable effects in terms of reduced cardiovascular morbidity in old age.

Materials and methods

During the period of February – April 2007, 51 Italian Departments of Internal Medicine (IM) took part in this observational study. Data were collected under the scientific coordination of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS – the leading technical and scientific public body of the *Italian National Health Service*) and the FADOI (*Italian Federation of Internal Medicine*) Research Department.

The study aimed to evaluate whether a relevant physical activity performed during the third or fourth decade of life could influence the occurrence of cardiovascular events in consecutive patients, aged 65-75 years, admitted for any

cause to IM Departments. Patients who had experienced a major cardiovascular event before the age of 40 and those with predicted poor compliance for the study procedures (e.g. severe cognitive impairment) were excluded from the study.

At hospital admission, demographic and vital parameters, medical history, and information regarding physical activity performed between the ages of 20 and 40 years were collected for each patient. Specifically, patients answered a specific questionnaire assessing the level of physical activity performed as part of work, daily life, leisure time and sports. For each decade (third and fourth), patients were asked to define the type of job (sedentary / requiring only technical ability / requiring moderate or vigorous physical activity), if they regularly used a bike and for what duration each time (\leq 30 / 31-60 / 61-90 / >90 minutes), and if they performed a sport as part of leisure time, or at competitive level.

Patients were classified as experiencing a relevant physical activity if they reported at least one of the following: - job requiring vigorous physical activity

- regular use of a bike for more than 90 minutes a day

- sport at a competitive level.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the *Istituto Superiore di Sanità* and of all participating centers, and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistical analysis

The association between physical activity performed in the third and fourth decade and cardiovascular outcome measured between ages 65-75 was evaluated in the overall study population by means of a multivariable logistic regression analysis. Cardiovascular events considered as study outcomes were cerebrovascular disease (stroke or transient ischemic attack), coronary heart disease, peripheral arterial disease, history of thromboendarterectomy, coronary or peripheral revascularization, and known abdominal aneurism. Covariates for the multivariable analysis included gender, smoking habit (present or past), diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia. Patients were defined as affected with diabetes, hypertension or dyslipidemia if they were receiving specific treatments for these and/or were given these diagnoses upon hospital admission.

Subsequently, a further multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to assess whether physical activity could influence the occurrence of cardiovascular events in the subgroup of diabetic patients.

Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs were reported with 2-tailed probability values. A p value \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical analysis was carried-out using SAS[®] software, version 9.1.3.

Results

Study population

A total of 2191 patients were included in the study, and their characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Around half of the patients (44.2%) were considered as having performed physical activity when they were 20-40 years old. A major cardiovascular event had occurred in 35.8% of patients. A diagnosis of diabetes was present in one-third of patients (33.3%).

Physical activity and cardiovascular outcome

Table 2 and Figure 1 report the results of two multivariable analyses concerning the overall study population and the subgroup of patients with diabetes, respectively. In these analyses, the occurrence of cardiovascular events was related to physical activity performed at age 20-40 and to other potential prognostic factors. As far as the overall study population is concerned, a slight trend towards a decrease in the incidence of cardiovascular events was reported in patients performing physical activity (mean risk reduction of around 4%). A stronger trend towards improved cardiovascular outcomes (though not statistically significant) was demonstrated by the subgroup of diabetic patients who were physically active as compared to sedentary diabetics (mean risk reduction of around 24%). In both the total patient population and the diabetics, male gender, hypertension and dyslipidemia were associated with a significantly increased incidence of major cardiovascular events. Smoking was significantly associated with worse cardiovascular outcomes

Table 1Characteristics of patients.	
Characteristics	
Age Mean ± SD Male gender (%) Physical activity at 20-40 yrs (%)	71.6 ± 3.1 54.6 44.2
Patients experiencing CV events (%) Smoking [*] (%) Diabetes (%) Dyslipidemia (%) Hypertension (%)	35.8 53.8 33.3 34.2 61.9
* at present or in the past.	

Table 2Multivariable logistic regression analysis for theoccurrence of cardiovascular events in the overall studypopulation. OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Intervals.

Variable	Effect	OR	95% CI	p value
Physical activity	Yes vs No	0.96	0.79 - 1.17	0.71
Gender	Male vs Female	1.53	1.22 - 1.92	0.0002
Smoking	Yes vs No	1.76	1.41 - 2.19	< 0.0001
Diabetes	Yes vs No	1.37	1.13 - 1.67	0.0017
Hypertension	Yes vs No	1.84	1.51 - 2.24	< 0.0001
Dyslipidemia	Yes vs No	1.76	1.45 - 2.14	< 0.0001

in the general study population and with a near-to-significant increase in cardiovascular events in the subgroup of diabetics.

Discussion

A large number of prospective population studies [13-17] have found beneficial effects of physical activity on rates of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality; this evidence is of major importance to public health because physical inactivity is highly prevalent in contemporary society and is a potentially modifiable factor [18].

Few data, however, are available concerning the longterm effects of physical activity, and the data that is available refers to specific populations and activities (in the majority of cases, athletes and competitive sports). The aim of our study was to address this gap by evaluating, in a population of patients aged 65-75 years, whether overall physical activity (both work and leisure related) performed between 20-40 years of age, could lead to a reduced risk of subsequent major cardiovascular events.

In our study, no clear benefit in cardiovascular outcome was seen in the overall population of patients participating in physical activity as young adults. This finding adds to the heterogeneous data reported to date on this issue. Namely, in the study by Kujala et al. [11], athletes participating in international competitions for endurance sports demonstrated a reduced risk of coronary heart disease, diabetes and disability in old age, but the same results were not found in subjects performing strength-based sports. Furthermore, Paffenbarger et al. [6] reported a reduced mortality in Harvard College alumni who had been physically active, and in the group of individuals who discontinued physical activity, long-term morbidity and mortality were higher than in those individuals who continued with lifelong activity. These earlier results support the concept that continuity of physical activity is of particular value in improving health, and the results from our study, though indirectly, seem to support this hypothesis. Indeed, we have not collected systematic information on the physical activities of our patients after the fourth decade of life, but it is plausible that the majority of them experienced a more sedentary lifestyle in the following decades [19–21], particularly after retirement; this activity change may have reduced the positive influence of physical activity performed when they were younger.

A more evident improvement in cardiovascular outcome was documented in our study in the subgroup of diabetic



Figure 1 Multivariable logistic regression analysis for the occurrence of cardiovascular events in the subgroup of patients with diabetes. OR = Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Intervals.

patients who had performed a relevant physical activity when they were 20-40 years old compared to diabetics who were sedentary at the same age. This finding, though not statistically significant, seems to be worth noting because it is consistent with the known beneficial effects of physical activity on glucose metabolism and with the concept of exercise as a cornerstone of diabetes management, along with diet and medication [22–24]. A reduced morbidity in diabetics who performed relevant physical activity may also be related to the previously described positive effects of regular exercise on the overall metabolic risk profile and to changes in inflammatory and coagulation markers as well as platelet reactivity [25–27]. Our study highlights that these benefits may be lifelong.

Over the years, various expert groups, based on the best evidence available, have formulated different physical activity dosing recommendations and guidelines. It is plausible that there is a minimum dose of physical activity required to obtain health benefits, that these benefits increase with increasing dose, and that beyond a certain level of activity, adverse effects (e.g., musculoskeletal injuries [28], sudden death [29]) outweigh the benefits. The minimum effective dose, dose-response curve and maximum safe dose of physical activity are not well understood, however, and indications of the type, intensity, duration and frequency of physical activity are not easy to synthesize across studies since investigators have assessed and classified them in a number of different ways [30,31]. Considering these uncertainties and the difficulties associated with retrospective data collection, we chose to dichotomize patients by considering whether they performed at least one relevant physical activity including vigorous work, the regular use of a bike for more than 90 minutes a day, and a competitive sport. This simplified approach, which selected patients were engaged in a high level of physical activity, was in our opinion, consistent with the exploratory nature of our study and with the aim of identifying the possible long-term benefits of physical activity.

Although the size and the long follow-up strengthen its validity, our study may have some potential limitations worth discussing. First, retrospective collection of data through questionnaires may limit their accuracy and could be subject to recall bias and misclassification. Our choice was to collect a limited set of pivotal information and to avoid ambiguous questions as well as more sophisticated items, which might be difficult to remember. As a consequence, we may have omitted some variables, such as dietary habits, potentially altering the results. Moreover, because we focused on exercise during the third and fourth decades of life, we cannot exclude the possible influence of later physical activity. However, because we adjusted our results for gender, smoking, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia, we are confident that we have considered the most relevant prognostic factors for cardiovascular outcome in our cohort.

Furthermore, to classify patients on the basis of their physical activity, we considered both intentional exercise

and activities of daily living. This approach is different from the one used by contemporary recommendations, which generally focus exclusively on intentional physical activity; this inclusion seemed salient for the social environment in Italy between 1950 and 1970. In addition, in our classification, we mixed aerobic and muscle-strengthening activity, but this combination may be confounding or inappropriate. However, recent guidelines support the benefits of and recommend both types of exercise [32]. More specifically, muscle-strengthening activity has been reported to promote the development and maintenance of metabolically active lean muscle mass, which is particularly important for glucose metabolism [32], and this may have contributed to the clear trend towards reduced cardiovascular morbidity in physically active versus sedentary diabetics in our cohort.

Finally, due to the study design, we cannot exclude the possibility that our study population represents a selected cohort compared to the general population of Italy in the period between 1950 and 1970. It is also plausible that our population, composed of patients requiring medical assistance but omitting people who died before our survey was conducted, underestimates the potential long-term benefits of physical activity.

In conclusion, the results from our study in medical patients suggest that moderate-intensity physical activity performed during young adulthood may have limited beneficial effects on cardiovascular disease in old age, particularly when a specific high-risk population (e.g. diabetic patients) showing a clear trend towards a better outcome is excluded. Most likely, and in agreement with previous data, regular lifelong physical activity is crucial to reap more significant benefits. Considering that our data is preliminary, we hope that future studies of adequate design can further assess this issue.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Irene Zaratti and Davide Ghilardi for their secretarial assistance and to the patients included in the study for their trust and participation.

Appendix A

Members of the FADOI-ISS Study Group were the following: A. lacopino, S. Mantuano, C. Ragazzù (Internal Medicine, "Carmona" Hospital, Messina); F. Cipollini (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Amandola); A. Mazzone, F. Capelli, P. Fusetti, T. Lavazza (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Legnano); A. D'Angelo (Internal Medicine, "Fatenefratelli" Hospital, Palermo); I. Iori, D. Arioli, A.M. Pizzini (Internal Medicine I, "S. Maria Nuova" Hospital, Reggio Emilia); D. Panuccio, E. Romboli (Internal Medicine B, "Maggiore" Hospital, Bologna); R. Nardi, I. Blasi (Internal Medicine, "G. Dossetti" Hospital of Bazzano); F. Flumene, A. Ferriani (Internal Medicine, "Civile" Hospital, Alghero); C. Pedace, M. Bernardini (Internal Medicine, "S. Donato" Hospital, Arezzo); V. Tucci

(Internal Medicine, Hospital of Crotone); P. Parise, A. Procacci (Internal Medicine, "Alto Chiascio" Hospital, Gubbio); D. Galasso, M. Ambrosio (Internal Medicine, "Pugliese-Ciaccio" Hospital, Catanzaro); F. Miserocchi, G. Beccari (Internal Medicine, "S. Maria delle Croci" Hospital, Ravenna); K. Paknegad, F. Fragolini (Rehabilitative Cardiology and Internal Medicine, "Nomentana" Hospital, Roma); G. Gaspardo (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Spilimbergo); G. Landini, L. Masotti (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Cecina); C. Nozzoli, T. Buccioni (Internal and Emergency Medicine, "Careggi" Hospital, Firenze); G. Lombardo, A. Dei (Internal and Emergency Medicine, Hospital of Empoli); E. Bernardini, C. Bianchi (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Pescina); A. Frapiccini, A. Micheli (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Recanati); F. Flumene, A. Ferriani (Internal Medicine, "Civile" Hospital, Alghero); C. Politi, C. Del Buono, G. Di Bartolomeo (Internal Medicine, "F. Veneziale" Hospital, Isernia); C. Carpino (Internal Medicine and Cardio-Respiratory Rehabilitative Unit, "V. Minervini" Hospital, Mormanno); G. Scanelli (Internal Medicine, "Arcispedale Sant'Anna" Hospital, Ferrara); A. Rogacien, F. Pietrantonio (Internal Medicine, "Sant'Eugenio" Hospital, Roma); C. Bartolomei, M. Cei, P. Chiavistelli (Internal Medicine II, Hospital of Livorno); R. Cavaliere, C. Norbiato (Internal Medicine, "Mauriziano" Hospital, Torino); M.C. Mayer, R. Jannuzzi (Internal Medicine IV, "Cardarelli" Hospital, Napoli); L. Anastasio, A. Arone (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Soriano Calabro); F. Colombo (Internal Medicine I, "Niguarda" Hospital, Milano); F. Salvati (Internal Medicine, "S.S. Immacolata" Hospital, Guardiagrele); M. Campanini, S. Morelli (Internal Medicine 2, "Maggiore" Hospital, Novara); M. Cassol, C. Sagrafoli (Unit of Geriatrics, "S. Pietro Fatebefratelli" Hospital, Roma); G. Chesi, F. Franco (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Scandiano); R. Biasioli, J. Mazzotti (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Magenta); S. De Carli (Internal Medicine 2, "Santa Maria della Misericordia" Hospital, Udine); G. Gulli, S. Garzo (Internal Medicine, "Bianchi-Melacrino-Morelli" Hospital, Reggio Calabria); G. Mathieu, M. Lancia (Internal Medicine, "Riuniti" Hospital, Pinerolo); C. Letizia, L. Zinnamosca (Day-Service for Secondary Hypertension, "Umberto I" Hospital, Roma); R. Fariello, G. Zanolini, S. Ettori (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Chiari); R. Reginelli (Internal Medicine, "Civile" Hospital, Jesi); S. Di Rosa, L. Manna (Internal Medicine, "Villa Sofia" Hospital, Palermo); P. Di Iorio, A. Ferrante (Internal Medicine, "Vittorio Emanuele II" Hospital, Atessa); M. Giusti, E. Provera (Internal Medicine A, "San Giovanni Bosco" Hospital, Torino); A. Evangelisti, M. Ongari Ferrante (Internal Medicine, "Civile" Hospital, Porretta); F.C. Raimondo, A.M.D. Drago (Internal Medicine, Hospital of Salemi); G. Iosa, A. Aliberti (Internal Medicine, "Bufalini-Marconi" Hospital, Cesenatico); G. Minasola, D. Di Vincenzo (Internal Medicine, "S. Cimino" Hospital, Termini Imerese); A. Sciascera, D. Pellegrino (Unit of Geriatrics, "S. Anna" Hospital, Como); S. Gurrisi, R. Barresi (Internal Medicine, Ragusa Hospital, Militello in Val di Catania); G. Traisci, L. De Feudis (Internal Medicine, "Spirito Santo" Hospital, Pescara).

References

 Morris JN, Everitt MG, Pollard R, Chave SPW. Vigorous exercise in leisure-time: protection against coronary heart disease. Lancet 1980;2:1207–10.

- [2] Ekelund L-G, Haskell WL, Johnson JL, Whaley FS, Criqui MH, Sheps DS. Physical fitness as a predictor of cardiovascular mortality in asymptomatic North American men: the Lipid Research Clinics Mortality Follow-up Study. N Engl J Med 1988;319:1379–84.
- [3] Blair SN, Kohl HW, Paffenbarger RS, Clark DG, Cooper KH, Gibbons LW. Physical fitness and all-cause mortality: a prospective study of healthy men and women. JAMA 1989;262: 2395–401.
- [4] Slattery ML, Jacobs DR, Nichaman MZ. Leisure time physical activity and coronary heart disease death: the USRailroad Study. Circulation 1989;79:304–11.
- [5] Shaper AG, Wannamethee G. Physical activity and ischemic heart disease in middle-aged British men. Br Heart J 1991; 66:384–94.
- [6] Paffenbarger Jr RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee I-M, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The association of changes in physical activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men. N Engl J Med 1993;328:538–45.
- [7] LakkaTA, Venalainen JM, RauramaaR, Salonen R, Tuomilehto J, Salonen JT. Relation of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness to the risk of acute myocardial infarction in men. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1549–54.
- [8] Blair SN, Kampert JB, Kohl HW, et al. Influences of cardiorespiratory fitness and other precursors on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women. JAMA 1996;276: 205–10.
- [9] Hu G, Tuomilheto J, Borodulin K, Jousilathi P. The joint associations of occupational, commuting and leisure-time physical activity and the Framingam risk of coronary heart disease. Eur Heart J 2007;28:492–8.
- [10] Morgan JE. University Oars. London: MacMillan; 1987.
- [11] Kujala UM, Sarna S, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M. Hospital care in later life among former world-class Finnish athletes. JAMA 1996;276:216–20.
- [12] Chakravarty EF, Hubert HB, Lingala VB, Fries JF. Reduced disability and mortality among aging runners. A 21-year longitudinal study. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:1638–46.
- [13] Schnohr P, Lange P, Scharling H, Jensen JS. Long-term physical activity in leisure time and mortality from coronary heart disease, stroke, respiratory diseases, and cancer. The Copenhagen City Heart Study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2006;13: 173–9.
- [14] Sesso HD, Paffenbarger RS, Lee IM. Physical activity and coronary heart disease in men – the Harvard Alumni Health Study. Circulation 2000;102:975–80.
- [15] Shaper AG, Wannamethee G. Physical activity and ischemic heart disease in middle aged british men. Br Heart J 1991;66: 384-94.
- [16] Barengo NC, Hu G, Lakka TA, Pekkarinen H, Nissinen A, Tuomilehto J. Low physical activity as predictor for total and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men and women in Finland. Eur Heart J 2004;25:2204–11.

- [17] Kujala UM, Kaprio J, Sarna S, Koskenvuo M. Relationship of leisure-time physical activity and mortality – The Finnish Twin Cohort. JAMA 1998;279:440–4.
- [18] Varo JJ, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, De Irala-Estevez J, Kearney J, Gibney M, Martinez JA. Distribution and determinants of sedentary lifestyles in European Union. Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:138–46.
- [19] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Trends in leisure time physical inactivity by age, sex and race/ethnicity – United States – 1994-2004. MMWR 2005;54:991–4.
- [20] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adult participation in recommended levels of physical activity: United States, 2001 and 2003. MMWR 2005;54:1208–12.
- [21] Haskell WL, Lee I-M, Pate RR, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, et al. Physical activity and public health. Update recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation 2007;116:1081–93.
- [22] Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Wasserman DH, Castaneda-Sceppa C, White RD. Physical activity exercise and type 2 diabetes. A consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2006;29:1433–8.
- [23] De Feo P, Di Loreto C, Ranchelli A, Fatone C, Gambalunghe G, Lucidi P, et al. Exercise and diabetes. Acta Biomed 2006;77(suppl. 1):14–7.
- [24] Colberg SR. Physical activity, insulin action and diabetes prevention and control. Curr Diabetes Rev 2007;3:176-84.
- [25] Thune I, Njolstad L, Lochen ML, Forde OH. Physical activity improves the metabolic risk profiles in men and women – the Tromso Study. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:1633–40.
- [26] Lee KW, Lip GYH. Effects of lifestyle on hemostasis, fibrinolysis, and platelet reactivity: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:2368–92.
- [27] Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Chrysohoou C, Kavouras S, Stefanadis C. The associations between leisure-time physical activity and inflammatory and coagulation markers related to cardiovascular disease: the ATTICA study. Prev Med 2005;40:432–7.
- [28] Hootman JM, Macera CA, Alnswoth BE, Addy CL, Martin M, Blair SN. Epidemiology of musculoskeletal injuries among sedentary and physically active adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34:838–44.
- [29] Albert CM, Mittleman MA, Chae CU, Lee IM, Hennekens CH, Manson JE. Triggering of sudden death from cardiac causes by vigorous exertion. N Engl J Med 2000;243:1355–61.
- [30] Lee MI. Dose-response relation between physical activity and fitness. JAMA 2007;297:2137–9.
- [31] Warren JM, Ekelund U, Besson H, Mezzani A, Geladas N, Vanhees L, for the Experts Panel. Assessment of physical activity a review of methodologies with reference to epidemiological research: a report of the exercise physiology section of the European Association of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2010;17:127–39.
- [32] Ivy JL, Zderic TW, Fogt DL. Prevention and treatment of noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 1999;27:1–35.