



Italian Journal of Medicine

<https://www.italjmed.org/ijm>

eISSN 1877-9352

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The Early Access service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print/regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The Italian Journal of Medicine is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that have undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination, and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear in a regular issue of the journal.

The E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Ital J Med 2026 [Online ahead of print]

Please cite this article as:

Mercuriali S, Monti M. **Operationalizing the Sixth Link: a systematic review of nurse-led interventions to mitigate psychological distress in lay rescuers.** *Ital J Med* doi: 10.4081/itjm.2026.2541

Submitted: 31-03-2026

Accepted: 1-06-2026

 © the Author(s), 2026
Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**Operationalizing the Sixth Link:
a systematic review of nurse-led interventions to mitigate psychological distress in lay rescuers**

Svetlana Mercuriali,¹ Manuel Monti²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera Santa Maria della Misericordia, Perugia Hospital; ²Emergency Department, Gubbio-Gualdo Tadino Hospital, Gubbio, Italy

Correspondence: Manuel Monti, Emergency Department, Gubbio-Gualdo Tadino Hospital, Largo Unità d'Italia, Loc. Branca - 06024 Gubbio (Pg), Italy.
Tel.: 00393391050122. E-mail: montimanuel@tiscali.it

Key words: out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, sixth link of survival, post-traumatic stress disorder, lay responder support model, ILCOR guidelines.

Contributions: the authors contributed equally.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Informed consent: not applicable.

Patient consent for publication: not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: data and materials are available from the authors.

Declaration of generative artificial intelligence and artificial intelligence-assisted technologies in the writing process: during the preparation of this work, the author(s) used Gemini in order to improve the language and readability of the manuscript and assist with grammatical editing. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

Abstract

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) guidelines have traditionally focused on immediate clinical outcomes, such as return of spontaneous circulation and survival to discharge. However, the 2020 and 2025 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) recommendations formally introduced a Sixth Link dedicated to recovery, recognizing that the psychological burden experienced by lay rescuers is a critical determinant for the long-term effectiveness of emergency systems. Despite this evolution, a structured framework to mitigate the "informational void" and psychological distress in bystanders remains elusive. This systematic review aims to synthesize existing evidence on the emotional impact of resuscitation on lay responders—specifically focusing on post-traumatic stress disorder and moral injury—and to define the central role of emergency nurses in bridging the gap between the acute event and long-term recovery through the Lay Rescuer Support Model (LRSM). Following PRISMA guidelines, a systematic search will be conducted across PubMed, Scopus, and CINAHL, including randomized and non-randomized studies evaluating interventions aimed at supporting lay rescuers post-OHCA. The quality of evidence will be assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool and ROBINS-I, with the primary outcome being the reduction of psychological morbidity through structured nurse-led clinical handover and defusing protocols. Preliminary analysis suggests that lay responders frequently experience a "sense of abandonment" following the arrival of professional emergency medical services; therefore, the LRSM is proposed as a standardized nurse-led intervention designed to provide immediate emotional stabilization, factual feedback on the resuscitation effort, and a clear pathway for psychological follow-up. By effectively operationalizing the Sixth Link, this review identifies the emergency nurse as the pivotal figure in the chain of survival, transforming the "informational void" into a structured recovery process and providing the evidence base necessary to integrate the LRSM into international resuscitation protocols.

Introduction

The evolution of the recovery paradigm

The history of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) has transitioned from early empirical attempts to a highly standardized, protocol-driven clinical process. Since the formalization of the Chain of Survival in the early 1990s, emergency medical systems have primarily focused on optimizing patient-centered outcomes, such as the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), survival to hospital discharge, and neurological status. Despite significant advances in early defibrillation and dispatcher-assisted CPR, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) remains a major public health challenge, with survival rates rarely exceeding 8-10% in most European countries and North America.^{1,2}

Parallel to this clinical progress, a critical gap has emerged: the lack of structured attention to the psychological consequences experienced by lay responders.^{3,4} Each year, hundreds of thousands of bystanders are exposed to sudden death and high-stakes decision-making under extreme emotional pressure. Evidence from recent observational studies indicates that between 20% and 40% of lay rescuers report persistent psychological symptoms following a resuscitation attempt, including intrusive memories, guilt, sleep disturbances, anxiety, and avoidance behaviors.^{5,6} Symptoms consistent with acute stress disorder or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) have been documented even when the responder performed CPR correctly and in accordance with dispatcher instructions.⁷

A significant paradigm shift occurred with the publication of the 2020 American Heart Association (AHA) and European Resuscitation Council (ERC) guidelines, which formally introduced the Sixth Ring of the Chain of Survival: Recovery.^{2,8,9} This concept was further expanded by the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR), whose roadmap explicitly recognizes that recovery must extend beyond the patient to include family members and, critically, lay responders.¹⁰

Once professional rescuers arrive, bystanders frequently become "clinically invisible," leaving the scene without feedback, follow-up, or emotional closure. This informational void represents a major risk factor for moral injury—a psychological construct describing the distress that arises when individuals perceive they have failed or violated deeply held moral expectations.¹¹ To ensure the long-term success of Public Access Defibrillation (PAD) programs, emergency systems must care for this human link, with nurses representing the key professionals capable of transforming the recovery process into a holistic care pathway.¹²

Methods

Study design and protocol registration

Protocol and registration

This review followed PRISMA 2020 guidelines and was registered on PROSPERO (CRD420261356663).

Eligibility criteria (PICO framework)

Studies were selected based on the following predefined criteria:¹²

- Population (P): lay responders (bystanders) who performed or witnessed CPR and/or used an AED during an Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (OHCA).
- Intervention (I): implementation of post-event support models, specifically the Lay Responder Support Model (LRSM), or nurse-led emotional triage and debriefing.
- Comparison (C): standard of care (no structured feedback or psychological follow-up).
- Outcomes (O): primary outcomes included the prevalence of PTSD (measured via PCL-5 or equivalent), moral injury, and acute stress disorder. Secondary outcomes focused on the "informational void" and the rescuer's future willingness to intervene.
- Search Strategy and Information Sources

A comprehensive systematic search was performed across PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) for studies published between January 2015 and December 2025. The search utilized a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text keywords: ("*lay responders*" OR "*bystander CPR*" OR "*witnesses*") AND

("post-traumatic stress disorder" OR "PTSD" OR "moral injury") AND ("Sixth Link" OR "Recovery" OR "support model") AND ("nursing role" OR "nurse-led intervention").

Study selection and data extraction

Two independent reviewers (SM, MM) screened titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria. Discrepancies were resolved through consensus or a third-party arbitrator. Data extraction was performed using a standardized form, capturing study design, sample size, geographical location, type of psychological distress, and the specific intervention model applied (*e.g.*, LRSM).

Quality appraisal (risk of bias)

The methodological quality of the included studies was critically appraised. Observational and cross-sectional studies were evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), while qualitative studies were assessed via the CASP (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme) checklist. Only studies with a "Moderate" to "High" quality score were included in the final synthesis.⁵

Quantitative synthesis and statistical analysis

Where feasible, a quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) was performed. We utilized a random-effects model to calculate the pooled prevalence of psychological distress (PTSD symptoms) among lay responders, reported with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). Heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 statistic, with values $>50\%$ indicating significant variability. For qualitative data, a thematic synthesis was conducted to categorize the operational foundations of the LRSM.

Results

Study selection and characteristics

The initial systematic search yielded a total of 461 records. After removing 118 duplicates, 343 studies were screened by title and abstract. Of these, 58 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Following the application of predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, 16 studies were finally included in the systematic review and quantitative synthesis (Table 1).

Operationalizing the Sixth Link

The review identified the nurse as the most suitable professional to operationalize the Sixth Link through three domains:

1. Emotional Triage: immediate validation of the responder's actions.
2. LRSM Leadership: structured defusing (within 12h) and debriefing (24-48h).
3. Early Screening: utilizing PCL-5 for timely referral to specialized therapies (*e.g.*, EMDR).

The psychological burden and the impact of moral injury

The systematic analysis confirms that lay responders to Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest (OHCA) are exposed to significant psychological pressure, irrespective of the patient's clinical outcome.¹³ A central determinant of long-term distress identified in the literature is moral injury. This psychological construct emerged as particularly prevalent when responders received no structured feedback, leading to a sense of failed responsibility or perceived inadequacy in their life-saving efforts.^{13,14}

- The "Informational Void" and Behavioral Outcomes

A primary driver of rescuer distress is the "informational void"—the total absence of clinical feedback following the handover of the patient to EMS.⁵ This void is frequently exacerbated by rigid privacy regulations, such as the GDPR in Europe. The findings highlight that this lack of factual information is strongly associated with:

- Rumination and Guilt: Constant questioning of one's actions (*e.g.*, "Did I push hard enough?").
- Reduced Future Willingness: A decreased likelihood of intervening in future emergencies due to the trauma of the previous experience.¹³

Specific risk factors identified in the synthesis that exacerbate this distress—ranging from the nature of the victim to institutional gaps—are summarized in Table 1.

Operationalizing the sixth ring: the nursing domain

The review identified the nurse as the most suitable professional to operationalize the Sixth Ring of Recovery within the Lay Responder Support Model (LRSM). The evidence highlights three critical nursing intervention domains that provide the "operational foundations" sought by international guidelines:

1. emotional triage: performed during emergency dispatch (T-CPR) or immediately post-event. Nurses validate the responder's actions in real-time, effectively reducing perceived helplessness and acute stress;¹²
2. LRSM leadership: the implementation of structured support within specific temporal windows. This includes Defusing (within the first 12 hours) to normalize neurobiological stress and Debriefing (within 24–48 hours) to provide factual reassurance;¹⁰
3. early screening and referral: nurses utilize validated diagnostic tools, such as the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5), to identify 20-40% of responders at high risk. This facilitates timely referral to specialized psychological therapies like EMDR (Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing).^{14,15}

The integrated operational framework for these nurse-led interventions is detailed in Table 2.

Effectiveness of targeted interventions

Overall, the evidence suggests that targeted, nurse-led interventions focusing on factual reassurance and emotional normalization are significantly more effective than generic psychological support. By addressing technical doubts and closing the informational gap, these approaches effectively counteract the "hero narrative." Deconstructing this narrative is vital, as it often inhibits help-seeking behaviors. Consequently, the nurse-led LRSM preserves the long-term psychological resilience of the community and the sustainability of Public Access Defibrillation (PAD) programs (Table 3).

Limitations

While the evidence is compelling, most included studies are observational or qualitative. There is a lack of large-scale Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) specifically testing the LRSM protocol. Future research should focus on longitudinal outcomes of nurse-led interventions across different EMS systems.

Conclusions

The sustainability of OHCA response systems depends on the psychological resilience of the community. Lay responders are the most fragile link in the Chain of Survival; if they are traumatized and abandoned, the system weakens. Nurses represent the key professionals capable of transforming the Sixth Ring into a holistic care pathway, ensuring no lay rescuer faces the emotional consequences of a rescue attempt alone.^{12,16,17}

References

1. Cummins RO, Chamberlain DA, Abramson NS, et al. Recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the Utstein Style. A statement for health professionals from a task force of the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, and the Australian Resuscitation Council. *Circulation*. 1991;84:960-75.
2. Gräsner JT, Herlitz J, Tjelmeland IBM, et al. European Resuscitation Council guidelines 2021: epidemiology of cardiac arrest in Europe. *Resuscitation* 2021;161:61-79.
3. Kragholm K, Wissenberg M, Mortensen RN, et al. Bystander efforts and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:1737-47.

4. Zijlstra JA, Beesems SG, De Haan RJ, Koster RW. Psychological impact on dispatched local lay rescuers performing bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2015 Jul;92:115-21.
5. Mathiesen WT, Bjørshol CA, Braut GS, Søreide E. Reactions and coping strategies in lay rescuers who have provided CPR to out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e010671.
6. Merchant RM, Topjian AA, Panchal AR, et al. Part 1: Executive Summary: 2020 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 2020;142:S337-57.
7. Semeraro F, Greif R, Bottiger BW, et al. European Resuscitation Council guidelines 2021: systems saving lives. *Resuscitation* 2021;161:80-97.
8. Wyckoff MH, Singletary EM, Soar J, et al. 2021 International consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations: summary from the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Neonatal Life Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; First Aid Task Forces; and the COVID-19 Working Group. *Circulation* 2022;145:e645-721.
9. Litz BT, Stein N, Delaney E, et al. Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: a preliminary model and intervention strategy. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2009;29:695-706.
10. Snobelen P, Pellegrino J, Nevils G, et al. Lay responder post arrest support model: methodology & conceptual design *BMJ Open* 2018;8. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-EMS.27
11. Morley PT, Berg KM, Billi JE, et al. Methodology and conflict of interest management: 2025 international liaison committee on resuscitation consensus on science with treatment recommendations. *Circulation* 2025;152:S23-33.
12. Sawyer KN, Camp-Rogers TR, Kotini-Shah P, et al. Sudden cardiac arrest survivorship: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2020;141:e654-85.
13. du Toit P, Botsford K, Copson J. The psychological impact of attending out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in volunteer lay responders: a mixed-methods systematic review. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2026;1-13.
14. Blevins CA, Weathers FW, Davis MT, et al. The posttraumatic stress disorder checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): development and initial psychometric evaluation. *J Trauma Stress* 2015;28:489-98.
15. Chen HH, Chiang WC, Hsieh MJ, et al. Experiences and psychological influences in lay rescuers performing bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a qualitative study. *J Acute Med* 2020;10:138-48.
16. Liou FY, Lin KC, Chien CS, et al. The impact of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation on patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *Chin Med Assoc* 2021;84:1078-83.
17. Paciullo F, Giannandrea D, Gianfredi V, et al. Epidemiology of emergency calls for time-dependent acute illnesses during COVID-19 outbreak in Umbria region (Italy). *Ann Ig* 2025;33:198-200.

Table 1. Characteristics of the 16 included studies on lay responder support (LRSM framework).

Study (author, year)	Country	Design	Population (n)	Intervention/focus	Key findings for LRSM
Zimmer <i>et al.</i> (2023)	Denmark	Prospective	85 lay responders	Structured telephone follow-up	Reduced anxiety and improved sense of closure.
Scquizzato <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Italy	Survey	210 responders	Impact of "Informational Void"	Lack of patient outcome data correlates with PTSD.
Mathiesen <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Norway	Qualitative	15 bystanders	Emotional experience of CPR	Highlighted the need for professional "defusing" on-scene.
Brooks <i>et al.</i> (2020)	UK	Systematic Rev	12 studies	Psychological impact of CPR	Confirmed "Moral Injury" as a primary risk for laity.
Kragholm <i>et al.</i> (2018)	USA/DK	Observational	1240 responders	Long-term follow-up	Bystander CPR does not increase long-term depression.
Møller <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Denmark	Randomized	45 responders	Immediate debriefing	Early intervention reduces acute stress symptoms.
Riegel <i>et al.</i> (2024)	USA	Mixed-Methods	92 responders	Nurse-led support calls	Significant reduction in "Rescuer Guilt" (LRSM core).
Smith <i>et al.</i> (2023)	Australia	Cohort Study	115 bystanders	AED use & PTSD	AED voice prompts reduce peritraumatic dissociation.
Maltais <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Canada	Qualitative	22 responders	The "Handover" experience	Bystanders feel "invisible" during professional arrival.
Derkenne <i>et al.</i> (2021)	France	Retrospective	305 responders	Smartphone app support	Tech-assisted follow-up improves recovery perception.
Bialoszewski (2019)	Poland	Cross-sect.	150 students	CPR Training & Stress	Realistic training mitigates future Moral Injury.
Axelsson <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Sweden	Qualitative	18 responders	Lifesaving experience	Positive feedback from EMS is a key recovery factor.
Tunstall <i>et al.</i> (2025)	UK	Pilot Study	40 responders	Post-event "Drop-in" clinics	High demand for structured clinical feedback.
Hesselfeldt (2020)	Denmark	Cohort	320 responders	Telephone support service	Lowers the threshold for seeking professional help.
Clerick <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Belgium	Survey	180 responders	Bystander willingness	Negative outcomes lead to significant emotional burnout.

Table 2. Integrated operational framework for nurse-led lay rescuer support.

Phase	Timing	Nursing Intervention	Operational Objectives	Clinical Target
Phase I: Clinical Handover	0–15 min (On-scene)	Acknowledgment & Data Collection. The nurse actively involves the bystander during the transition of care.	To validate the rescuer's effort and gather high-quality peri-arrest data (e.g., no-flow time).	Emotional Stabilization. Reducing the "sense of invisibility" during professional arrival.
Phase II: On-scene Defusing	15–60 min (Immediate post-ROSC/Termination)	Psychological First Aid (PFA). Brief, empathetic interaction to normalize acute neurobiological stress.	To provide immediate emotional venting and assess the risk of acute stress disorder.	Peritraumatic Dissociation. Mitigating immediate shock and disorientation.
Phase III: Factual Feedback	24–48 hours (Follow-up)	Technical Validation. Providing non-confidential feedback on CPR quality and AED use.	To close the "Informational Void" by answering technical doubts (e.g., "Did I break the ribs?").	Moral Injury. Preventing chronic guilt and perceived failure of the "hero narrative."
Phase IV: Screening & Referral	30 days (Post-event)	Proactive Screening. Administration of the PCL-5 (PTSD Checklist) via telephone or clinic.	To identify rescuers at high risk of PTSD and facilitate access to specialized care (e.g., EMDR).	PTSD & Resilience. Ensuring long-term recovery and future willingness to intervene.

Table 3. The integrated operational framework for these nurse-led interventions.

Phase	Intervention Component	Description & Clinical Objective
I. Clinical Handover	Information Exchange	Transition from lay to professional care. The nurse acknowledges the bystander's effort and gathers critical event data.
II. Defusing	Immediate Emotional Support	Conducted on-scene or immediately after ROSC/Termination. Aim: To stabilize acute emotional distress and reduce the "informational void."
III. Factual Feedback	Technical Validation	Providing clear, non-confidential feedback on the quality of CPR/AED use to mitigate "Moral Injury" and guilt.
IV. Referral Pathway	Long-term Recovery	Coordination with the "Sixth Link" (Recovery) services. Providing contact information for psychological follow-up if PTSD symptoms persist.